

BDC REGIONAL  
CHAMPIONSHIP

ROUND I  
(BALLOTS)

MARCH 12, 2022

Round

# Logan Judge

A005\*

Satvik Speaker 1 team - 29.5

Anushka speaker 2 team - 29.5

Michael speaker 1 team - 29.5

Nandani Speaker 2 - 29.5

Anushka team a Lost - 29

Nandani Speaker 2 team b in Crisis  
for Nandini - 29

Team Winner ~~Boys~~  
Boys

A005

RI: LOGAN  
P.

A005 vs. A011  
\*

speech: 90 sec  
+ 30 sec  
if over time

5 min  
break after  
speech

Grand cross  
3:00

26-30 pts  
for  
Speaker

Round 1

- 1<sup>st</sup> - Pinky - ~~28~~ 28
- 2<sup>nd</sup> - Stephanie - ~~27.5~~ 27.5
- 3<sup>rd</sup> - Merin - 27
- 4<sup>th</sup> - Carter 26

Winning Team

PRO

Stephanie & Pinky

RI: Bhumika R.  
 A002 vs. A003  
 \*

CON

PRO \*

Carter:  
Speech - nice points  
bees and hives  
would die

Pinky: Good speech talks about pesticides  
good question

Merin: Uses cancer  
good points and  
confident

Stephanie:  
question used nicely and asks  
points question defends PRO

nice sources

Uses specific examples  
evidence refutes opponent  
good rebuttal sounds confident

Round One

CON

PRO

Carter

Pinky

Merin

Stephanie

CON

Question defends side

good question

questions are good defends

Stephanie:

Merrin:  
uses good point

Pinky: good point  
about food chain

Carter:

CROSS fire fast + and rapid  
use all time

Be more precise

300A: 20 500A  
\*  
9 AFINNMB: I 9

# Round 1

→ \* Speaking to the judge  
→ \* They said and you said

1 29      2 27

Maris + Lydia + Pro + 1      you said  
29.5      30

\* Havisheth + Aarko + Con + 2  
2      1

## FEEDBACK ↓

MARIS

Maris FB - Good speaking quality  
- Nice way to rebutt questions!  
- Nice speech! - Confident  
- Keep on speaking

AARKO

Aarko FB - Good eye contact.  
- Good contact - You said ~~that~~  
- Good speaking - Determination  
- Great speech  
- Great answers

LYDIA

Lydia - FB - Nice eye contact  
- Good evidence - Good questions.  
- (Talking to the judge)  
- Determination  
- Good confidence

HAVISHETH

Havisheth FB -  
- Good introduction!  
- Good questions  
- Good case recs  
- Nice speaking quality

RI: SWVIDHI M. A010 vs. A008 \*

- Really love how everyone was nice to each other and rebutted ~~every~~ opponents questions nicely!
- Good speeches!
- Good eye contact and speaking quality
- Efficiency and Balloon nicely.

Round 1

★ 28      29.5  
Anika & Aryan - Con First Speaker

27      26.5  
Alyssa & Sophia - Pro second speakers

- Good evidence from both teams
- Both teams asked strategical questions
- Both teams answered without hesitating

A007 vs. A006

\*

Aryan - Try to speak a little slower  
Anika - Try to answer questions with better evidence, since you just stated what you think from your personal view.

RI: SAHIL T.

Alyssa - Try to avoid saying "actually no," since you repeatedly said that and didn't specify about what you were saying.  
Sophia - Try getting other info from other websites other than Harvard.  
In your case and other speeches, you only talked about Harvard.

Pro - Gmo Round 1

Pro - Gmo\*

Kumol: speak more slowly, you have good substance in your speech - a slow rhythm. Speak more loudly and more outward. (28)

Aaron: good eye contact, nice pace, enjoyed your inclusion of an alternate point - mental in relation to physical health. BT protein introduces new evidence. Very intriguing. Nice following along with debate. Nodding. (28.5)

Abeerum: good evidence in your rebuttal. good addition of closing state. Hypotheticals are not always the strongest method of carrying your argument. (27.5)

Altaba: good pace, eye contact, good active presence in debate. Strong passion - "WE DISAGREE" Very good! Some zoning out, remember you are refuting their argument so its important to listen! (30)

A004 + A009

Avon: strong tone! good eye contact, confident presence, good evidence, some repetitive language. Good vocabulary very good evidence to back up argument. Credible sources. (28.5)

Abeerum: good eye contact, have some more confidence in your writing its very good! Watch out for "um" & "like" - I know it's tricky. You state "some percentage". Good use of responsive language - "when you consider the fact". (27.5)

I recommend you work on your presence. even a taller posture will give your argument added strength!

(26-30) Side 2: Kumol CDC research; make more eye contact. weak response however it was on the spot. good evidence. Nice passion. (28)

Altaba good eye contact, very confident, strong tone. Good credible evidence. "Purdue", "Gmo Industry", "Crispr". Very intriguing points. Nice use of statistics to back your argument. (30)

switched

I see a great future for you as a leader of strong figure

Altaba  
Abeerum Aaron

★ See back for scores

Julia Marine

RI: Juwa M. A004 vs. A009

Aaron George  $\rightarrow$  28.5 } Pro-Gmo! ~~\*~~

Aarav T.  $\rightarrow$  30

Abhiram K.  $\rightarrow$  27

Kumail M.  $\rightarrow$  28 } Con-Gmo

~~Abhiram K.~~

RI: 2NHA W!

POOA 2V YOOA



A001\* vs. A012  
JUDGE: TERRY H.

vs. A012  
A001 vs. A012  
MORGAN M. ~~VS.~~ KEERTHI S.  
BEN C. JEAN H.

A001 | MM = 26.3  
\* BC = 27.3  
WON

KS = 28.7  
JH = 27.0  
LOST

RI: TERRY H. RFD: NONE. + ORAL  
ASSESSMENT